G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000--20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~ .

(Muzrai)

File No. 67 (Section)

Serial Nos. 1-9

Papers Filed.

Month June 1898

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the restoration of the Inam Land Section to Sri Lakshmi Narayana & Sri Anjaneya Swamy at Chittanahalli Gubbi Taluk, which were alienated to others by the deceased Archaka of the above Temples

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.
Complaint of the residents of Chitnahalli, Gubbi Taluk, about the irregularity in the performance of the pooja services in the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami and Anjaneya swami temples at Chitnahalli.

LAST REFERENCE:—

Letter No. 383 dated 11th February 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district.

---

1. The Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple at Chitnahalli is a muzrai institution endowed with the marginally noted inam land as well as a cash grant of Rs 0-9-8 per mensem. (Some lands at Chitnahalli Bagayat village are also stated to be endowed to the above temple, but no particulars are furnished).

Chitnahalli S.No 100 wet 3-13-

(Sheristadar's report d: 4.11.02)

2. The Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitnahalli is also a muzrai institution endowed with the marginally noted inam lands but enjoying no cash grant. Some lands at Chitnahalli Bagayat village are stated to be endowed to the above as well as lands as Pudivat by one Shanbhogue Mavuttheraiya, a devotee) but no particulars of these are furnished.

Chitnahalli S.No 17 wet 1-23
" 48 " 2-39
" 95 " 1-32
Chitnahalli " 46 dry 6-33

(Sheristadar's report d: 4.11.02) 3. The archaks of the temple appear to be the legitimate archaks of the Sri Chaunakesava devaru temple at Belur, on whose behalf the pujari Krishnachari who will be described below, had been performing the pooja for the last 30 years

2 2

and the fact is stated to be borne out (out) by the fact that the land endowed as Pudivat by Sh. Narasimharaja stands in the Khata of one of the Archaks of Belur temple named Kesava Bhatta.

4 Krishnacharya is stated to have been the pujari till January 1902 when he died (not at Chitrahalli). (Patel's report to Shelldon d: 9.9.02)

Deduct the lands endowed to the temples have been alienated (by him) as noted below. (Vide Statement d: 17.7.03)

Survey No. 100 wet 3-13 (a g) hypothecated to Javaregauda, son of Sankegauda (by him) enjoyed for 6 years for Rs 200/- under reg^d deed dated 30.12.00 } The Sri Lakshminarayanaswamy temple.

" " 48 wet 2-39 do —— do —— Rs 200.

" " 95 " 1-32 do —— deed not reg^d — Rs 95 bond dated 14.1.01, to be enjoyed till (the) amount is paid up.

" " 17 " 1-23 hypothecated to Sh. Rangappa's father Rangadhanayya, to be enjoyed for 12 years as per oral agreement for Rs 198 under 2 bonds
bond d: 20.11.93 for Rs 198 not reg^d
" " 20.8.91 " " 100 " "

2/3 of " Dry 44 6-33 hypothecated to Kapani naik for Rs 72 on condition of crediting Rs 9 towards principal every year
bond d: 15.9.1900 for Rs 72 not reg^d

5 After the death of Krishnacharya, his son Venkatachari alias Ramachari had been doing the pooja for some time after entreating the villagers to permit him to do the same.

5

3

13.8.02 6 The Shekdar reported to the Amildar that Venkatachari had gone away to Kolal, a village in the Tumkur Taluk, leaving the Key of the temple with a Wakkaliga woman Hosaranji, that he had to open the door on the Installation day on 8.8.02 in the presence of panchayets and to get the pooja performed that no pooja had been performed for 3 months together after the death of Krishnachary but that Venkatachary having returned after 3 months begged of the village and did the pooja for some time, that Kolal was reported to be Venkatachari's native place and that Venkatachari had the pooja of a temple there, also a Muzrai Institution, that the aliences had been enjoying the produce of the rich Inam Lands and requested that orders might be issued to have that ye ar's produce confiscated and kept in amanat. The Amildar ordered the confiscation of that ye ar's produce and keeping of the same in deposit.

26.8.02 7 The Shekdar reported that the archak who returned from Kolal had broken the door open, (the key of which had been placed with the Patel of the village) and that the archak had been living in the temple. The Amildar wants the explanation of the archak regarding his conduct but the Shekdar replied that he had again gone to

Kolal

8 Regarding the confiscation of the produce and its keeping in amanat, the Shekdar stated that crops had been reaped before his orders had been received.

The Amildar then directed the Shekdar to obtain muchalikas from the cultivators not to reap the crops of that year until orders were issued by him. The Shekdar submitted the muchalikas. The Deputy Commissioner, having asked the Amildar to obtain the muchalikas himself,

9. The Amildar then enquired into the case and stated that the cultivators refused to make over 18.12.03
the temple lands on the ground that they had been in possession of the lands under regular bonds (original on the file) as detailed above and requested that orders might be issued asking the cultivators to make over the temple lands and to proceed against Krishnachari's property for the amounts due by him. and also stated that the Saudik amount of 0-9-8 per mensem had been stopped since September 1902 and credited to Surplus Funds owing to the absence of the pujari.

10 Two petitions, No 2589 dated 25.6.03 addressed to this office and another No 1511 dated 27.11.03 addressed to His Highness, received in this office from the residents of Chitnahalli; complaining that puja services were not properly conducted in the above temples, were sent to the Dy Comr Tumkur Dt for report.

11 The Dy Commissioner having stated the facts of the case as noted above states "The temples have been deserted by the Archaks apparently

" because all the Inam lands have been mis-
" appropriated and I believe that regular
" worship will be re-established if the Inam
" lands are resumed and set apart for
" the benefit of the Archak. I therefore agree
with the Amildar and recommend that
" the Inam lands may at once be resumed,
" leaving the mortgagees to seek their redress
" on the property of the archak."

2nd ~~Sub~~ The Dy Commissioner ordered the dismissal of the archak on 6.1.04.

Submitted with a draft ~~asking~~ letter to furnish a copy of the detailed Inam Reg—

9/3/04

Note continued

13. From the Extracts of the Inam Registers received with the Inam Superintendents Docket No 128, dated 7th May 1904, it is observed that the inam lands mentioned in paras 1 & 2 of the note, have been confirmed as Devadaya Inam lands granted for the support of the Sri Lakshminarayana Swami and Anjaneyaswami at Chitnahalli Gubbi Taluk, and that the name of Krishnappa



P. T. O

Submitted to His Highness.

as called for in P. Sy's No. 1598 of 21.11.03. No reply is ordered however issued in this matter.

17.VI.04

9

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.



Subject.

Memorial of Venkatramayya and others of Chitnahalli, Gubbi Taluk, complaining that the Puja services are not properly conducted in the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami temples at the said place.

Order.

~~KRM~~

22/6/04



27.VI.04

Read

29/6/04

29/6/04

SL1

1730 తారీఖు.

No. 2589 & 1 . & 2590

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, Sl 2

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1730 dated 16.4.03. received at the Dewan's Office from Venkatramiah and others of Chatnahalli Gubbi Taluk ~~re the appointment of an Archak to the temple of~~ Lakshminarayanaswami and Arijanayaswami ~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~ Inspection the said Village ~~and the alienation of the lands attached to these temples~~ is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District for enquiry and disposal.

In sending back the Enquiry Summons are not properly conducted in the

By Order,

Sd/-

BANGALORE,
25/6/03 } Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muzrai Dept

Quot- with petitions

Sd
22/6/03

SL3

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 31st [illegible] 1903

Sec 1573
1

23/11/03

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja,

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
& Manager Subordinate Service

for [illegible]

No. 837 [illegible]

By Order,

I. C.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore

MUZRAI

1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Comr
Tumkur District

Dated

Despatched 27.11.03.

Received

General No. 1571 & 1572.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. One.

In Contn of this Office
No 2589 Dt 25.6.1903, has
the honor to forward here-
with ~~for record~~ Petition
No 837/168-731 Dt 19.11.03.
addressed to H.H. the
Maharaja by Venkata-
ramiah and others of
Chatnahalli, Gubbi Taluk
stating that the Puja Services
are not properly conducted
in the Sri Lakshmi Narayana
Swami and Anjaneya Swami
temples at the said place
and

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

~~A Very early reply~~ early report was submitted

DFF

B.O.

Dy. Secretary

Drug Depart.

Sent to the Printer

26/11/03

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched 7th Dec. 1903.

Received

General No. 1639
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

This Office Docket no 15412,
27.11.1903. calling for report
on the petn addressed to H.H.
the Maharaja by Venkata-
ramaniah and others of
Chatnahalli, Gubbi Taluk.
re the puja services in the Sri
Lakshmi narayana Swami
and Anjaneya Swami temples
of the said place.

—u—

Has the honor to draw attention
to the above and to request
that a reply may be furnished
not later than the 15th of this
month.

B.O.
5/12/03

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

Dy
7.12.03

Sl 6

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1767
MINERAL SECTION UNIT

1903-04

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur.

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore Mazarai Department.

Dated 11th

Despatched 14th February 1904

Received

General No. 383

No.

Enclosure No. 2 petns & 1 file.

Reporting on the petitions of the residents of Chitradurga Gubbi Tk, complaining that in the temples of Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami the puja services are not properly conducted, &c.

Rec

Read 15/2/04

ds

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 11th February 1904.

From

M S Narayana Rao Esq,
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq, B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government,
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No 2589 dated 25th June 1903 forwarding for enquiry and disposal a petition from the residents of Chitrahalli, Gubbi Taluk, Complaining that in the temples of Sri Lakshminarayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami, the Puja services are not properly conducted and that the Inam lands have been alienated, and to your docket No 1511 dated 27th November 1903 forwarding for report another petition addressed to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, I have the honour to state as follows:

2 The temples of Sri Lakshminarayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami are situated in Chitrahalli

Chitrahalli, Gubbi Taluk. The former is endowed with an Inam land Survey No 100 measuring wet 3 acres and 13 guntas, assessed at Rs 17-- under Chitrahalli Amanikere and a Cash tasdik of Rs 0-9-8 per mensem; and the latter with Inam lands noted in the margin under

Under Chitrahalli Amanikere.					
1.	S. No 17	Extent wet	A. G. 1-23	Assessment Godi 6-8 "	
2.	48	Do	2 34	15 -- }	4-5--
3.	95	Do	1-32	9 -- }	
Chitrahalli village.					
4.	44.	Dry	6-33.	8-8-0-0-15-0	

Chitrahalli Amanikere and Chitrahalli villages

3. One Krishnachar was the archak of these temples and he died in January 1902. His son Venkatachar alias Shamachar was doing the Puja work of these temples for some time afterwards.

4. On the 8th August 1902, when it was ordered that Abhishekam, &c, should be Conducted in Lakshminarayanaswami temple in honour of the Installation of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, it was reported that the archak had gone to Holalu, a village in the Tumkur Taluk leaving the key of the temple with one Rangi of Chitrahalli and that no Puja was being done for 10 days previously. The Shekdar got all the Devas-

performed on that auspicious day through a Brahmin of the village and reported the matter to the Amildar entrusting the Patel of the village with the key of the temple. Since then, it is reported that no Puja is being done in these temples and that archak Venkatachar has been doing Puja in another temple elsewhere.

5 It will be seen from the records that except a portion of Survey number 44, all the Inam lands have been alienated by the deceased archak Krishnachar and that all these lands are in the possession of the alienees.

6 The temples have been deserted by the archak apparently because all the Inam lands have been misappropriated and I believe that regular worship will be re-established if the Inam lands are resumed and set apart for the benefit of the archak. I therefore agree with the Amildar and recommend that the Inam lands may at once be resumed, leaving the mortgagees to seek their redress on the property of the archak.

7

7. The Cash payment of Rs. 9 8 is reported to have been withheld and credited to surplus funds ever since September 1902.

8. The remainder file on the subject is herewith submitted for reference and the petitions received with the sketch under reply returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner
of Trunkun District.

MUZRAI

SLS

1903—1904

FROM The Secretary to the Govt of Muzrai.

To The Inam Superintendent

Dated 15 March 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2877

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure Nil

Has the honor to
state that he will be good enough to
furnish the undersigned with a
Copy of the detailed Inam
Register pertaining to the Inam
lands endowed to ~~to each~~ the temples of
Sri Lakshmi Varasimhaswami
and Anjaneya Swami ~~temple~~ at
Chitradalli, Gubbi Taluk.

B.O.

16/3

9/3/04



190

From SL6

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
~~GEN. & REV. DEPTS.~~
Muzrayi Department.

Dated 31st March 1904.
Despatched 2nd April
Received

No. 111 of 1903—04

Enclosure No.

4/4/04
RS

His docket No. 2877 of the 15th March 1904.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that there are no Inam lands endowed to the Temple of Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami at Chitnahally, Gubbi Taluk and to request to be informed the correct name of the ~~correct~~ village in which the lands are situated.

Supt. of Inam

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1903—1904 Sl 7

From M. Shama Rao Supt M.A

~~L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,~~

~~Offg~~ Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

To The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated 14th } April '04.
Despatched 14th }

Received

General No. 3248
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Advertising to his ~~your~~ docket No 111 dated 31st March 1904, has the honor to state that the temples of Sri Lakshminarayana Swami and Anjaneyaswami are situated in Chitnahalli, Gubbi Taluk, ~~that~~ the former is endowed with an Inam land S. No 100 measuring wet 3 acres and 13 guntas assessed at Rs 17 under Chitnahalli Amanikere and ~~that~~ the latter with Inam lands noted in the margin under

*X and not Lakshmi Narasimhaswami

Under Chitnahalli Amanikere			
S. No	Extent A. G	assessment Rds	
17 old	1-23	6-8-0	4-5-0
48 do	2-39	15-0-0	
95 do	1-32	9-0-0	
Chitnahalli village			
64 dry	6-33	8-8-0	0-15-0

Chitradalli Kanakkéra and
Chitradalli villages.

2. Requests that copies of the
detailed Jamabandi registers pertaining
to the above may be furnished,
at an early date, as already
requested in this office letter
No 2877 dated 15th March 1904.

By order
for D. C. &c.
Asst Secretary

1904 Sl 8

From
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Mudrai Department.

Dated Bangalore
Despatched 12th } 7th May.
Received

General No. 128
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Rund 12/5/04 KS

Rupees ½ A

As requested in his docket No 3248 of the 14th April 1904, has the honor to forward herewith, Copies of the Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to the temples of Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swamy and Anjaneya Swami in Chikmahalli, Gubbi Taluk.—

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Register sheet No 112.

Village Chitanahally
Summuth - Chitanahally
Taloob - Koonigal

Copy of the Minor Inam

Register ~~of Inams in~~ the village of Chitaradahalli in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		Jari account/831		
1				
Title Decree 447 / 20924	Devaraya	Dry	a - 6 - 6½	4.23

Sammat of Chitanahally in the Taluk of Kunigal –

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i. e, jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
1 - 2 - 8	– " –	For the support of the Temple, which is said to be in good order and Pooje performed.	" - 15 - a

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, or [illegible] documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification or terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of Tanjore

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
— do —	— do —	Jari account 1831. Dry — 6 — 6/2 1 Anjaneyadevar Jari account 1855. Do. — do.

of the Vundidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Chitanahally Anjaneya Devaru Poojari Krishnachary enjoys the land.	— —	— —	—

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed on the existing jodi viz: annas 15. /Signed/ S. Loobkow Assistant. 11-7-70	To be confirmed and Continued on a jodi of 15 annas. /Signed/ B. Gopalrah Spl. Assistant. 30-12-70 Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Annas 15. /Signed/ T. Clerk. Inam Commissioner. February 27-71.
Compd Inam Supdts Office Camp Ootacamund. Dated 7th May 1904	Copy Supdt. of Inams Ctte.

Register sheet No. 115.

Chitanahally annani Tank.

Kunigal Taluk

Copy of the Inam

Register ~~of Inams~~ in the Village of Chitanahally Annani Tank in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		By maisby account 1807-8		
1 (Title Deed No 653) (20946)	Devadaya	wet	1-12-9 3/4	3.35
		Do account 1807 8		
2 (Title Deed No 654) (20947)	Do	wet	1-17-8 3/4	3.88

Sammat of ——— in the Taluk of Kunigal

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam. If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
5-13-4	— do —	For the support of the Temple which is said to be in good order and proper performed —	4-4-10.
1-2-8	— do —	— Do —	— — —

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and when	Written instrument in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of Joonkoor

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Pymaishy accot 1807-8 Anjaneya wet 1-12-7 3/4	Jari account 1831. wet 1-12-7 3/4 Anjaneya Jari account 1855
	Do. account 1807-8 Luchmanara-yana Swami wet 1-17-8 3/4	Jari account 1831 Luchmikanthadevar Dry 1-17-8 3/4 Jari account 1855 do. do.

of the Nundidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Chittanahally Anjaneya Devar Poojari Krishnamachary Enjoys the land.	— do —	— do —	— do —
Do Lachmicantta Devar. Poojari Krishnamachary Enjoys the land.	— do —	— do —	— do —

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed on the existing jodi viz Rupees 4-5-0. (Signed) C. Lodd Row Assistant. 11-7-70.	To be confirmed and continued on a jodi of Rupees 4-5-0. (Signed) B. Gopalrah Spl. Assistant 30-12-70 Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Rupees 4-5-0 (Signed) T. Clerk Inam Commr 27th February 1871
To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) C. Lodd Row Assistant 11-7-70	To be confirmed free of tax. (Signed) B. Gopalrah Spl. Assistant 30-12-70 Confirmed free of tax. (Signed) T. Clerk. Inam Commr 27th February 1871.

Compd
Inam Superintt Office.
Camp Coimbatore.
Dated 7th May 1904

"Copy"
Signature illegible
Supt of Inams &c &c

(draft as per Dewans orders)

Mysore.

SL9

Read :—

(i) Petition Regd. No. 1730 of 16th April 1903 from one Venkataramiah and others of Chitnahalli, Gubbi Taluk complaining that Puja &c services in the Sri Lakshminarayana-Swami & Anjaneyaswami temples at Chitnahalli, Gubbi Taluk, are not conducted.

No. 382g-30 En 2 Cet 8/11 & File v.c.p. of 4th June 04

(ii) Memorial, addressed of 19th Nov. 1903. to H.H. the Maharaja by Venkataramiah & others complaining as above

iii) Letter No. 383 dy 11th Feb: 1904, from the Dr Commr., Tumkur dy t-, reporting on the above.

+ iv) Docket No. 128 dy 7th May 1904 from the Superintendent of Inam Le Hlements in Mysore forwarding extracts of the Inam Registers pertaining to the grant of the inam lands endowed to the institutions.

Order thereon:— no 3829-30 dy 7/6/04

The temples of Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami and Anjaneyaswami in Chitrahalli, Gubbi Taluk, are

Muzrai institutions, the former being endowed with inam land survey no 100 measuring wet 3 acres + 13 guntas, assessed at Rs 17 under Chitraballi amanikera and a cash grant of Re 0-9-8 per mensem; & the latter with the marginally noted inam lands.

(*1)

Under Chitraballi amanikera.

Sur: No	Extent. A G	Assessment R. a. P	Total
17 wet	1-23	6-8-0	R. a. P 4-5-0
48 "	2-39	15-0-0	
95 "	1-32	9-0-0	
Chitraballi village			
44 & ½	6-33.	8-8-0	0-15-0

2. One Krishnachari who was the Archak of the temples died in Jan.y 1902 and for some time afterwards the Puja was being performed by Venkatachar, son of

the deceased Archak.
Venkatachar having gone
to his native place leaving
the Key of the temples with
one Rangi of Chitradalli,
Abhisheka & other Special
services ordered in honor
of the Installation of H.H.
the Maharaja, on the 8th August 90 had to be
performed by a Brahmin
of the village. Since then,
it is reported that no
Puja is being done in the
two temples.

3. From the records
it is observed that except a
portion

portion of Survey No. 44,
all the inam ~~lands~~ lands
have been alienated
by the deceased Archak
Krishnachari. The Dy
Commr reports that the
temples have been deserted
by the Archak apparently
because all the inam
lands have been alienated
and he hopes that regular
worship will be re-established
if the lands are restored
to the institutions.

4. The inam thorized
alienations of the Devadaya

inam lands having taken

place subsequent to 1891,

the Dy Commr is requested

to take immediate action in accordance

with the provisions of clause B Rule 11 clause B

of Govt No 266 dy 17th

for the resumption & restoration to the institutions of the inam lands,

Novr 1877 and report

the result to Govt

in the Murpai department

in due course. As the

Archak has deserted the

temples & gone away even

without making proper

arrangements for the

conduct of Puja &c

therein, the Dy Commr.
is requested to submit
detailed proposals
for the performance of
regular worship in the
temples ~~hereafter~~ by the appointment
of a competent and
willing Archak and
in regard to the better
management of the institutions
in question.

2/6

Ks
31/5/04

Mr Murray Hill

To, The Dy Commr
Tumkur D.t'ct records
Superintt of Dharm Sctts
in Mysore